

---

I am writing to object to the following policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11: Policy SP PL9 pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10: Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 37; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 - all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough

I also object to Policies SA page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management.

These policies if adopted would rob local Enfield residents of their natural amenities so developers can build luxury houses. This would add to pollution as well as destroying habitat enjoyed by wildlife.

For these reasons I oppose the policies.

I also object to SA32 Sainsburys Green Lanes N21 3RS Page 351 the redevelopment of supermarket and car park to mixed use homes and non residential floor space. This would affect local residents especially elderly and disabled and lead to longer journeys to alternative shops further increasing pollution.

No supporting infrastructure will lead to overstressing existing facilities such as schools, GP surgeries etc. Fords Grove car park going and 14% lost car parking space in Winchmore Hill Broadway gone will lead to a further loss of revenue to local shops leading to potential closures.

This development will lead to the destruction of habitat and woodland. It is the site of three species of woodpecker. It is also used by residents who live in adjacent flats and who do not have gardens.

For these reasons I Object to the plans.