

We strongly object to the proposal SA32, redeveloping of the Sainsburys, on several grounds.

1. Environmental grounds, this project, if approved will destroy our local public garden, which is not only home for several protected birds but has protection-order trees within its grounds. The garden is used by local residents of all ages, but especially elderly from nearby flats.
2. Loss of amenity, the supermarket is well visited by local community, and the coffee is a social gathering point. Without it we will be forced to drive to Southgate contributing even more to pollution and congestion
3. Overcrowding, the 300 houses is equal to 1000 people stretching existing public resources to the breaking point. So open two schools and three GP surgeries first, and new Sainsbury next door, those people will need to eat. Include few new bus routes on Lordship lane, preferably over bike lanes, as it used to be, new people will want to go to work.
4. Increase in local traffic, 300 homes equals 300 cars and vans, there is no room for that on existing roads, being driven or stationary. That will increase traffic pollution all over Winchmore Hill. Lordship Lane is at the gridlock already.
5. The development will be a sore spot, it will stand out as a sore thumb in the traditional environment and looks of Winchmore Hill. Why did you pressure all other developers to follow architecture guidelines for the area only to allow this?

Mentioning all grounds would make this email too long, but you get the gist. This project is a bad idea, so drop it.

--