

---

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the de-designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

The ample green space in Enfield was a major fact for us to move our family to this borough and for someone that doesn't drive, easily accessible green space is of most value.

It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I am very concerned about disappearing green spaces and its negative impact on the community and local wildlife, especially in light of global warming and increasing flooding risk to the area. The green unbuilt spaces have a direct positive impact on people's well-being and health.

2. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammev Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

The Mayor of London Sadiq Khan has already voiced his disapproval of the proposals by Enfield council to build on precious green belt land. Nearly 35,000 people have signed a petition on Change.org to oppose the plans.

The plans undermine the commitments made by Enfield council to combat climate change, in particular the Chase restoration project.

Once developed this biodiverse land will not be able to be returned to provide what it does now to the community and area.

Whilst I understand the need to provide adequate housing this should not be done at the expense of our wildlife, green space and the environment when there are brownfield sites available within the Borough for redevelopment.

I urge the council not to proceed with these policies, which are harmful to the Borough and its residents and have already proven unpopular with the community.



