

---

This plan must categorically NOT go ahead. It is against the wishes of the residents of the area. The executives should not make decisions in areas in which they do not reside.

I don't understand the language of the plan... (maybe that was intentional) but I know I don't agree to it. Even the mayor of London opposes it; at least he is trying to protect the environment and reduce pollution.

Please stop thinking about your own personal wealth and lining your pockets and think about the environment and the residents of Enfield who love living in a green and beautiful borough.

I'm sure residents will be stuck with having to look at eyesores built unfeasible high and and the noise, pollution, extra traffic and incidents of speeding/crime etc etc

Please can you give the public your rationale for not instead regenerating run down areas and creating new stylish homes. Not only does this solve housing issues it will also boost the area and so reduce crime and anti social behaviour. I haven't as yet heard your reasoning for not doing that. Please inform us .

Enfield borough does not need any more concrete and tarmac; as you can see from the picture I took a couple of days ago.

The town centre easily floods now which never used to be the case. The plan is not sustainable.

Do you even have a policy about about how you will preserve habitats and protect our green spaces? The plan is very woolly and does not explain things to us in a clear and precise way . We're left to guess.

It's not progress it's destruction and it will create much resentment.



