

---

I object to building housing on the Green Belt. The farmland should, in my opinion, be rewilded. It could also be used by animal rescue organisations or turned into parks for all residents to enjoy. Pick-your-own farms or allotments would be other good uses of the land.

The pandemic has shown us how important greenery and nature is for our wellbeing and health. Creating more urban spaces will lead to flooding and increase the number of cars in the area that will contribute to climate change. The Green Belt is the reason many of us have moved to the area.

Housing can be built elsewhere in the borough. As people are working more from home, former office buildings can be turned into apartments in central Enfield.

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammeys Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.