

Objection to SA32, Sainsburys Green Lanes N21 3RS. Page 351 of Enfield Local Plan: Redevelopment of supermarket and car park to mixed - use homes and non-residential floor space.

---

I have already responded to your questionnaire which I think illustrates totally your lack of care for the residents of Enfield. I have lived in the Borough for 34 years and I could not believe the suggestion in SA32 as described above, so am responding separately to this.

We need our local Sainsburys and we do not need 299 homes in what should be a green park surrounding the supermarket which is protected in law. The impact on us would be immense and completely unacceptable. It's safe to say I think it's one of the most stupid suggestions I have ever seen - it must have been made by someone who is totally ignorant of our neighbourhood and the concerns of its residents.

We need you Enfield Council to concentrate on making our Borough a safe and healthy place to live with good schools and local amenities, including the Green Belt.

So many EU workers have gone home - we keep hearing about the shortages of workers - this begs the question who are these homes for? I think Enfield Council needs to do some serious re-thinking if it's plans.

Even the Tory government are reneging on their promise to turn the UK into a concrete jungle! The Mayor also supports protecting our Green sites for future generations. All this building is detrimental to the planet.

Please rethink and come up with plans that benefit our people and community - the people you allegedly serve.