
I appreciate the need for more housing in Enfield but this Draft Plan is flawed because it fails to consider all aspects of the effects it will have.

It also expects a projected population growth of 50,000 which is a VERY high and unlikely number.

There are enough 'Brownfield' sites in the borough to meet government requirements and still provide areas for commercial use and employment. SA54 p374

There are many poor proposals for the whole borough but I will concentrate my observations on the proposed development at Crews Hill.

To take land out of the Green Belt is unnecessary and wrong: SP PL9 p77-80, Concept Plan Figure 3.10, SPH1 p183-185

As with other 'green' spaces, the Green Belt has an important role to play in reducing pollution in London and is vital in Climate Control. This proposed developments would increase air pollution. Housing requirements are mostly for 'Affordable' or 'Social' housing. It is very unlikely that any housing at Crews Hill would be deemed 'affordable'. Public transport is limited, few people would be prepared to cycle and most would continue to use cars for shopping, social activities, etc.

The local roads are narrow and already subjected to major queuing and long delays whenever there is a problem on the M25, including Cattlegate Road, The Ridgeway and Whitewebbs Lane. Any more cars, especially if parked, would make the problem worse and increase the risks of accidents, especially to cyclists.

If any development of the Green Belt area of Crews Hill is given permission it would open the door to building development '**creep**', particularly on the agricultural land stretching east from Crews Hill to Bulls Cross between the M25 and Whitewebbs Lane. Part of this is in the Bulls Cross Conservation Area and is an historic area and part of Enfield Chase. This would seriously damage the character of Bulls Cross and the whole Conservation Area. This land should be used for local food production. SP PL9 p77-80, Concept Plan Figure 3.10.

The continued expansion of Tottenham Hotspurs Football Club's grounds and buildings along both sides of Whjtewebbs Lane illustrates how easily 'Development Creep' can happen. SA62 p383, SPCL4 p277-279

I agree with the need for housing and protection against uncontrolled development and skyscrapers. SA2 p321

It has recently become very clear that the target for zero carbon emissions by 2040 is too late. The need for CO2 absorption and clean air is with us now.