

---

I am writing to object to the proposals below. The reason so many people want to live in Enfield is that it is a beautiful green place to live. I am sure you yourselves have enjoyed family walks in our lovely green belt, especially during the lock down. Building on the Green Belt would destroy this. I believe this is an easy and cheaper option rather than thinking outside the box, possibly more lower rise buildings and regenerating brown fill sites and empty buildings, such as office blocks. Providing incentives for private business to regenerate empty buildings.

The policies I am object to are: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.