

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

1. I am writing to object to Policy SA32, Sainsburys Green Lanes N21 3RS. Page 351 of Enfield Local Plan - redevelopment of supermarket and car park to mixed-use homes and non-residential floor space. The loss of a major supermarket will mean people will have to drive further for grocery shopping. Increased housing will lead to greater pressure on existing facilities such as schools and doctors' surgeries. I object to the destruction of habitat and woodlands. There will also be construction vehicle disruption on the already congested Green Lanes.

2. I also object to SA59 Policy DM BG10 on p380, the loss of public space and amenity from the conversion of the recreation fields at Firs Farm and to the east of the A10 (south of Church Street) for crematorium use. I object to the close proximity to Winchmore School and Highfields School and the potential impact of increased emissions in the area and the detrimental impact on residents' and students' health. In addition, the increased traffic in the area will also be detrimental to local people's health. Furthermore, the proposal from the Friends of Firs Farm to establish a cafe and community hub on the site would enhance people's lives and community cohesion.

3. I am also objecting to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the redesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

4. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management.

5. I also object to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.