Dear Enfield Council,

Re:- Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2021

I am an Enfield resident and have lived in Chalkwell Park Ave for the past 37 years.

I am writing to object to the following points.

- * Page 26 shows that the plan favours building 2,500 new homes including use of green belt land. How can you justify contemplating doing this when you claim that you want to protect green belt land as part of your climate change policy?
- * Page 37 asks the question 'are there any proposed areas that you think should not be included?' I think that the St Anne's school site should be removed. This is supported by a poll in social media Next Door, showing 83% oppose building homes on a school site. With proposals for dwelling sites on the playing fields of St Anne's, Palace Gardens and Tesco's (Page 40/41), where will all the children who subsequently live in these dwellings attend school, if the St Anne's school is demolished? We will certainly not have enough schools to accommodate them!

Furthermore, where will all the extra people generated by the extra living accommodation be able to do the weekly shop if Tesco's is demolished? (I see your proposed plan also talks of demolishing the Sainsbury's on Green Lanes, which will also create similar repercussive problems there).

Although the plan in general wants to provide more affordable housing, it doesn't seem to have taken into consideration all the extra needs this will inevitably incur:/ more schools will be needed, more recreational space for both children and adults, more supermarkets that are accessible on foot, more frequent trains (only running every half hour from Enfield Town, and you stipulated many times that you want to reduce carbon footprint), the fact that high rise buildings are not conducive to crime prevention and community welfare, to name but a few.

I do not feel that this plan has been well thought through and that it could have disastrous consequences should it go ahead.

Regards,