

---

Strategic Planning and Design,  
ENFIELD COUNCIL,  
FREEPOST NW5036,  
EN1 3BR

Dear Enfield Council,

Response to the Draft Local Plan Reg 18 Consultation 2021

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this important consultation.

I am writing to object to draft policy DM BG10 in the Draft Enfield Local Plan. This allocates Firs Farm Wetlands (Site ID SA59) as a site for burial and/or crematorium use. I oppose this policy because:

- Firs Farm wetlands is a vitally important community resource, essential to the health and The draft Policy directly contradicts Strategic Policy SP CL4 in the draft Local Plan. This identifies Firs Farm as facilitating and contributing towards developing sport and leisure facilities in Enfield.
- The proposal will significantly affect the local Site of Interest for Nature Conservation, and reduce the biodiversity and nature conservation interest of Firs Farm wetlands, contrary to several other policies in the draft Local Plan.
- The proposal will reduce the effectiveness of the flood alleviation provided by Firs Farm wetlands, which Enfield Council has spent more than £1 million to provide.
- The proposal will adversely affect the environment and local traffic, and this has not been properly considered in the Integrated Impact Assessment of the draft Local Plan.
- The policy introduces uncertainty into the future use of Firs Farm wetlands that jeopardises funding for projects secured by local community groups (e.g. from Thames Water) that have been endorsed and supported by Enfield Council.

**I call on the Council to remove all reference to Firs Farm wetlands from Policy DM BG10.**

**I find this proposal unbelievable in it's thoughtlessness towards the local community who highly value this newly formed community space. It is very well used and loved by the community of all ages, who love to use the beautiful circular route around the wetlands and playing fields site for exercise, leisure and community events run by dedicated volunteers, who have given so much of their time over the last few years. In addition the site is extremely close to our local schools and the north London hospice, which seems to make it very obviously inappropriate.**

The comments provided in this response to the consultation are my own views.

