

---

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.

Frankly, I'm absolutely flabbergasted that there is even a possibility that our lovely green belt of Enfield is being considered for construction, what are we doing to this world? There are other options which can be considered in Enfield, which does not decimate our countryside. There is no more land being made in the U.K., let's not ruin what little we have. Surely this is not the way forward for the next generations. Whilst I can understand there is a housing need, build in a way which does not choke our futures. I am significantly worried about the creep, creep effect of development, if the crews hill and other green belt sites are permitted for development, where does it stop?

I am really saddened for Enfield, a borough I love and have lived in for 8 years and I hope that these concerns of myself and many others are listened too and alternative housing options are found.

Thanks,

