To whom it may concern,

I was born and grew up in Enfield, now my children are growing up in Enfield. I always felt Enfield was special, as we were lucky to be so near to green belt. I grew up "knowing" that the green belt was protected land and no one would ever be able to build in it. That long after I'd gone and no matter how built up London got, parts of Enfield would always have a feel of the country thanks to the green built always being protected.

Why has this changed? How can you seriously entertain the idea of building on the green belt? Once it's built on, the area will never be the same again.

I feel so angry that the council, that has been elected by the people, could consider such a suggestion. Why is this proposal even being given the time of day?

It would be a disgrace for this to go ahead.

I also must mention how abhorrent the proposed plans for very tall buildings in Enfield Town and surrounding areas. Do you honestly feel that these proposed plans will help preserve the feel of Enfield? Surely anyone can see it would have a hugely detrimental effect.

I particularly oppose:

- The 'Spatial strategy' (<u>section 2.4</u>) which identifies how growth will be distributed across the Borough over the plan period and gives rise to the strategies for housing, employment, town centres and countryside green belt;
- 3,000 new houses at a 'deeply green' 'sustainable urban extension' referred
 to as 'Chase Park' (also known as Vicarage Farm) on the open Green Belt
 countryside next to Trent Park either side of the A110 (Enfield Road)
 between Oakwood and Enfield town (Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and
 Figure 3.11);
- 3,000 new houses in a 'sustainable settlement' at Crews Hill with the
 potential for longer term expansion up to 7,500 new homes right up to the
 M25. (Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10);
- 160 homes in Green Belt countryside at Hadley Wood (<u>SA45: Land</u> <u>Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364</u>);
- Industrial and office development in the Green Belt near Rammey Marsh (SA52 page 372);
- 11 hectares of new industrial and storage and distribution use at what is currently agricultural land east of Junction 24 of the M25 at part of new Cottages and Holly Hill Farm within Enfield Chase (<u>SA54, page 374</u>);
- a big expansion of the Spurs football training ground to the north of Whitewebbs Lane up to the M25, comprising of 42.5 hectares of land, for "professional sport, recreation and community sports/leisure uses" (<u>SA62</u> <u>page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277–279</u>);
- Encouragement for tall buildings, including in sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area (<u>see pages 156-60</u>, <u>Figure 7.3</u>, <u>Figure 7.4</u> and <u>Policy DE6</u>, and <u>SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping centre page</u>
 321). A <u>higher quality version of figure 7.4</u> is also available, showing

proposed maximum building heights across the Borough

I hope that someone sees sense and realise that these proposals are ludicro	ous and stop them
in their tracks.	

Best regards

Get Outlook for Android