Local Plan - Site SA45 - Land between Camlet Way and Crescent West, Hadley Wood

I have lived in Hadley Wood for over 20 years and regularly walk along Bartrams Lane and Crescent West overlooking this land. I am deeply sa which goes well beyond the borough boundary and includes the green belt in other boroughs.

which goes well beyond the borough boundary and included the gene helt in other boroughs.

According to the London Oeen Belt Council, the fundamental aim of the GREEN BELT policy is to prevent uban sprawl by keeping land permanently open; the essential aspects of Green Belts are their OPENNESS and PERMANENCE. Green Belts assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of dereict and other urban land.

The proposal by Enfertied Council to reseasing the above mentioned under or development in a STARK CONTRADICTION to the NATIONAL CREEN BELT policy.

I therefore wish to strongly object to the proposed release of this green belt site and the site allocation, which would allow the development of 160 homes on green belt land for the following reasons:

This is an unnecessary large scale destruction of Green Belt.

The Local Plan proposes a quarter of the 25,000 new homes to be build no Green Belt land, in breach of rational policies, the London Plan and Enfeld's own Clinate Change Action Plan. To build 25% of the new homes on Green Belt land cannot be justified. There is no justification why 160 out of the 25,000 homes should be built on the Hadey Wood life without such as mall number of homes could easily be found cutation the gene belt.

reconstruction and an annual reconstruction of the control of the

The Local Plan falls to develop/priorities all brownfield sites. The Local Plan does not have a settlement hierarchy or prioritisation of brownfield sites. The Council has not even included any of their landhoidings or estates, which is surprising, given they must be the largest single landowner in the borough.

In a sue surrounded by Conservation Areas and crisce I flisted buildings.

The list is annotated business the Island's UniforConservation for an other Morket Plant's proposals will adversely impact the setting, character and appearance of those heritage assets. The Council has not produced any evidence to assess the level of harm and should therefore not be allocating the size without such evidence.

Environmental – how can developing on this beautiful field assist the Council in meeting its own Climate Charge Action Plant' Why is the council seeking to concrete over this field. Surely this field contributes more to lighting Climate Charge, than developing it for housing.

It is a concrete over the field. Surely this field contributes more to lighting Climate Charge, than developing it for housing.