

---

Dear Enfield Council,

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this important consultation.

1. I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

4. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy. The Draft Local Plan is wide-ranging and covers many issues. Please, add your own thoughts about issues of importance to you. You may find some ideas in our Local Plan Myth Busters post under Latest News.

While I support housing development and recognise the ambition to meet Enfield's housing needs, I strongly object to the proposal to release Green Belt for housing and other purposes. I believe that there are other alternatives that need to be explored to meet the housing targets as the Green Belt is a precious resource and should be preserved for the benefit of future generations.

In this age when we are all facing new ecological challenges each day, of the deterioration of our green belt, I feel we cannot be complacent and neglectful of the consequences of ill-measured actions.

I humbly request that you reconsider this proposal and help us to preserve our beautiful, green Enfield.

Sincerely,

--