
To whom it may concern,

Name: Samuel Levy

Address: 1 Knoll House, 77 Carlton Hill, NW8 9XD

It would truly be sacrificial to provide consent for this development scheme which eliminates the beautiful Greenland which I and many others have enjoyed throughout our lives. As a child, I regularly used to visit one of my closest friends who lives adjacent to the site. We used to relish the opportunity to be able to enjoy the green spaces. Consenting this development will not only destroy these memories but will lead to various other serious issues such as increased flood risk and destruction of wildlife and biodiversity. I urge you to prevent this application from progressing at all costs.

My principal reasons for objection are:

1. The Plan fails to develop/prioritise all brownfield sites

Brownfield sites do not appear to have been prioritised in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework. As an example, why has the full capacity of the Meridian Water site not been included in the 20-year plan. Instead, the Council has chosen the easy option of building on our Green Belt with poor local connections and amenities.

2. Destroys a valued part of the Green Belt

The most recent Characterisation Study undertaken by Enfield Council refers to the area as “a special area of landscape character which is a major asset for the borough. It is of both landscape and historic significance”; and “The existing Green Belt boundary should be retained and protected, and future development and land-use changes resisted”. Why then has it chosen to destroy a valued part of our Green Belt?

3. Increases flood risk in other parts of Hadley Wood

Parts of the land are in flood zone 3, and local sewers/flood defences are already insufficient, resulting in frequent flooding across Hadley Wood. The existing foul and surface water sewer infrastructure has not kept up with the pace of development.

4. Makes a significant contribution to the purposes and openness of the Green Belt

The Hadley Wood Heritage and Character Assessment note that the site provides “important views” and the landscape “acts as a buffer separating Hadley Wood from other urban areas”. No account has been taken of the fact that the site forms part of the Hornbeam Hills Area of Special Character. It also ignores the fact that the area has significant historic value as it was where the Battle of Barnet took place in the 15th Century.

5. Wildlife and biodiversity would be harmed

Building over 11ha of fields for 160 homes is an inefficient use of valuable Green Belt land that serves an important purpose, and contradicts Enfield’s green policies and the declaration by the Council of a Climate Emergency. The ecology of this unique area of rough grazing and wildlife habitat, a relic of the landscape of Enfield Chase, should be protected.

6. Wrong location for a sustainable development

This is not a sustainable site. It has poor public transport links with a PTAL 1a/b. The scheme would be wholly reliant on cars, as there are very limited local amenities, no local GP, no post office, no secondary school, an oversubscribed primary school, and virtually no local employment.

7. Indiscriminate intensification of density within 800 metres of any station is flawed

The indiscriminate intensification of the density within 800 metres of the station is flawed as it fails to take account of the lack of amenities, poor local public transport, distance is measured as the crow flies, etc. The additional housing to be added between, behind and above existing homes does not represent sustainable development.

8. Too small for infrastructure investment

160 new homes do not warrant the investment needed to increase schools, healthcare services, shops, leisure facilities, etc. It should be noted that currently the roads and pavements are not maintained properly by the Council. Over the last 19 years, I have rarely noticed such maintenance works having been attended to. My nearest GP is on Station Road in Barnet which relies on travel by car.

9. Site is surrounded by Conservation Areas and Grade II listed buildings

The site is bordered and overlooked by the Hadley Wood Conservation Area, the Monken Hadley Conservation Area and Grade II listed buildings on Camlet Way. It would be impossible to build on that site without it adversely impacting the setting, character and appearance of those heritage assets.

10. The proposal is an opportunistic development rather than a strategic decision

The site was not on the list for development in the 2018 consultation document and was only added when the Duchy of Lancaster, as landowner, made it a condition of their support for the Local Plan. This is morally unacceptable and smells of "you scratch my back and I will scratch yours"!

11. The Site should not be classed as 'Available' and should not be included as an allocated site

The agricultural tenant's lease runs beyond the five-year threshold and this Green Belt site should not be included for development in 10+ years' time, as other brownfield locations will become available to replace these 160 homes within that timeframe.

12. The Council has not outlined the necessary 'exceptional circumstances'

The Council has not set out the necessary "exceptional circumstances" why this specific Green Belt site should be released for development for 160 homes out of the 25,000 homes they are seeking up to 2039.

Kind regards,