

---

With regard to the above I feel the document is full of errors and most residents would be put off replying due to the huge volume of complex reading.

I am totally against Policy SP PL 18, pages 86.

It is not believe the houses you propose to build on the Green Dale will be affordable. Property in the Western side is at a premium price to start with and you state the average house costs 1.7 times the income of an Enfield resident. How can houses be discounted by 60% to make them affordable? especially a large family home which is desperately needed. How will this scheme affect the value of the original surrounding homes? There are numerous empty properties in the borough as well as elderly residents living in 1 bedroom houses, would it not make sense to offer them 1 bedroom accommodation on one level facing up these unworked properties and solving some of the social care needs of the elderly.

Green Hill areas are showing more positive trends of land and history, species than the urban fringe areas. They also play a vital role in helping the impacts of climate change, cooling, flood protection, water catchment and carbon storage. The Merryhill Way footpath crosses through Vicarage Farm providing a much need form of exercise and relaxation. This footpath has been vital to residents during the pandemic.

I am totally against Policy SP PL 9 pages 77-80, the same issues apply or building houses on the Crews Hill site. Crews Hill garden centres provide employment and generates revenue for our borough. It is widely visited from people from areas outside of the borough. The golf course also generates a huge income and again provides a form of exercise and relaxation.

I am fully aware of the processes the council are under but do not feel this draft Local Plan provides a way forward for Enfield.

Thank You.