

---

I am writing to express my concerns and objections to the Draft Local Plan for Enfield; I have already previously lodged my objections to the planned expansion of housing and high rise buildings near Trent Park, so this email concentrates specifically on the proposed plans concerning Crews Hill Garden Centres, Whitewebbs Park (and Golf Course), Holly Hill Farm, Hadley Wood.

I also take this opportunity to note - yet again - the poor communication by Enfield Council to its residents to notify them of the proposals in a way and with sufficient time that reaches as many as possible. It should also be said that the process - which should involve a democratic element - has not been made at all accessible or easy! Enfield Council and Councillors are there to fully represent the voices of its residents, taking into account the needs as well as long term goals for the area and the environment.

Having to wade through numerous policy documents containing dense pages of text and needing to quote every specific policy point, paragraph etc in order to lodge an objection is arguably a way to prevent people from doing just that. I would urge you all to please look at your process to make them more accessible and easy to understand so that people are able to lodge any reasonable challenge or objection, without them having to become experts in planning law! Thank you.

SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. All these areas are part of Enfield Chase, which has a significant historical value. Has a risk assessment been undertaken to evaluate the cost to the environment should the plans proposed go ahead?

Crews Hill - with its garden centres and surrounding fields as well as WhiteWebbs Golf Course represents a lively local community as well as economy. People come from all over the area, as well as parts of Essex to visit and spend money there. Their loss would be irreplaceable, both to that community and to the Green Belt. What other alternatives have been considered. There must be others.

Thank you for taking the time to read and consider my objections and concerns.

4. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms