Dear Sirs,

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279.

All these propose using Green Belt land for housing and/or industrial purposes and are part of Enfield Chase, an area of local and national historic importance. To go ahead with these plans would be extremely detrimental not only to the biodiversity and the natural environment but also to the wellbeing and mental health to the many thousands of people who visit or live in the area. This has been amply demonstrated during the lockdowns of the past eighteen months which saw a huge increase in footpath use in exactly those areas now earmarked for development.

At a time when the government is encouraging us to take more exercise and play sport, the loss of amenities such as parkland and the public golf course at Whitewebbs is at odds with their aim, so I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279. I absolutely reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. I and many others were waiting last summer to join the club when it reopened.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. The insertion of buildings of this type into a conservation area of historic importance is entirely inappropriate and would destroy the look and feel of Enfield Town, especially as other lower-rise building forms (such as building quadrangle-styled developments of no more than four storeys around a green) could provide the same accommodation in the same area, as stated in the policy.

The stated aim is to provide more housing for those in need, such as

young families. A development of luxury homes on Vicarage Farm or a high-rise flat in a tower block would not assuage the problem. There are enough brownfield sites in the Borough, many of which are not listed in the Plan, to ensure that it is unnecessary to build a single home on Green Belt land.

Yours faithfully,