

---

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to you as I am very concerned by the proposals in the draft Enfield Local Plan. Firstly your proposal runs to 413 pages or so, which makes it very difficult, if not impossible, for the average person to be able to assimilate. It is also written in very technical terms which makes it even harder for someone without the necessary qualifications (such as me) to understand.

I fully understand the need for more housing but I do not believe the Council has fully explored all other possibilities. It should be looking at all previously used land and brownfield sites before allowing any development on Green Belt.

I particularly object to Policies: SP PL10 (Chase Park), pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11(Chase Park Concept Plan); Policy SP PL9 (Crews Hill), pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10 (Crews Hill Concept Plan); Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54 (Land east of Junction 24), page 374; and Policy SA62 (Land at Tottenham Hotspurs Football Club Training Ground), page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

I am very worried that the character of Enfield and surrounds will be lost forever and I also do not think you fully appreciate the benefit to people of having green spaces to view, walk and play in.

I do hope you will take my representations into account.

Kind regards