Hello,

Please do add me to the database for the Local Plan updates.

Having read more of the Plan in depth there are unfortunately two other areas that I would like to object to:

Crematoria at Firs Farm and Church Street Recreation ground- both of which are residential areas where there is no capacity for increased traffic. Church Street Rev in particular is an 'oasis' of greenery in that area that residents need to keep access to. One suggestion is, reduce the amount of burials that are allowed, so that sites earmarked for burials can be a crematorium instead. It's nonsensical to be prioritising the dead over the living like this and destroying green spaces.

Secondly- proposal for housing at the site of Sainsburys on Green Lanes- there is no capacity in this area; Green Lanes is already a traffic jam. This supermarket is a key local amenity and losing it will force more car journeys, going against the reduction of carbon emissions and other pollution. It would also negatively any residents without a car.

Sincerely,

Thank you for your response on the draft Enfield Local Plan consultation.

Your comments will be considered and analysed, using them to refine the policies that will be included when consultation on the Draft Enfield Local Plan 2019-2039 and supporting documentation ends on 13th September 2021.

All the comments will be published on the council's website in due course. To view our Privacy Notice, please visit here.

If you would like to be added to the Local Plan database and be notified of the next stages of the Local Plan development, please respond to this email to let us know.

Dear Enfield Council,

As a resident of the borough and a keen environmentalist I feel compelled to object to many of the policies in the Draft Local Plan relating to building on the Green Belt. We cannot simply continue to trample all over nature and open spaces in the interest of 'affordable' housing. I believe that there are enough brown field sites in the borough to be used for new homes, and also since the Covid pandemic 'business as usual', ie building new housing estates in outer London, cannot continue as previously; the country is becoming less London-centric, people are moving away and able to work from home. There will quite likely be less pressure on housing in Enfield than has previously been forecast.

I was also deeply concerned to note in the Council's leaflet that 50% of the new homes would be affordable- this is ludicrous! Surely if it's so imperative that these homes are built for local residents, they should ALL be affordable! We don't want to give up our Green Belt at all, let alone for some developers' profit.

The specific policies affecting the Green Belt that I object to are: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 — all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. This is a rare and valuable landscape, part of Enfield's charm as a green outer London borough, and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

- 2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.
- 3. I am also particularly objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt. With the Council's focus on wetlands in every park (seemingly) in the borough, it makes no sense to decimate a natural marsh area.

Apart from my concerns around the Green Belt, I would also like to object to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which will categorically change the feel of Enfield, making it much more urban. I do not believe that Enfield Town is the right place for high rises given the number of historical buildings. Again, referencing the Covid pandemic, no one wants to live in flats or high rises, these will no doubt be bought by landlords and rented to people who cannot afford a house, thus creating a poverty trap and damaging the community.

Enfield Council is committed to serving the whole borough fairly, delivering excellent services and building strong communities. Opinions expressed in this email are those of the individual and not necessarily those of the London Borough of Enfield. This email and any attachments or files transmitted with it are strictly confidential and intended solely for the named addressee. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not the intended recipient and receive it in error you must not copy, distribute or use the communication in any other way. All traffic handled by the Government Connect Secure Extranet may be subject to recording/and or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

This email has been scanned for viruses but we cannot guarantee that it will be free of viruses or malware. The recipient should perform their own virus checks.