To whom it may concern

I wish to object to a number of proposals included in Enfield's draft local development plan. I believe they demonstrate a severe lack of imagination in a half hearted and vague attempt to address and meet the need for affordable housing in the borough and completely fail to recognise the urgency to protect and improve the environment for Enfield's current and future generations. The recent housing developments such as those on the A406 do not inspire any confidence that the Council's current thinking is willing or able to marry the two. I don't see that the answer to a shortage of housing is to build on green spaces.

Subject: Draft Local Plan 1. I wish to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 - all of which propose the redesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough. 2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. 3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt. 4. I also object to Policy DM BG10 on p380, the loss of public space and amenity from the conversion of the recreation fields at Firs Farm and to the east of the A10 (south of Church Street) for crematorium use 5. I also object to SA42 the development of Ford's grove car park as this was allocated as free parking to make up for the loss of parking spaces when the cycles lanes were introduced on Green Lanes and will negatively impact business and shoppers, especially the disabled, elderly and those with children who will have to walk further. 6. I also object to SA32, Sainsburys Green Lanes N21 3RS. Page 351 of Enfield Local Plan - redevelopment of supermarket and car park to mixed-use homes and non-residential floor space. 7. I also object to DE6 and SA2 and the encouragement for tall buildings, including in sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area (see pages 156-60. Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4.

Kind regards