

---

I am writing to object to all elements of the local plan which allow dedesignation of green belt land, in particular the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279

Development on greenfield sites goes against the councils declaration of a climate emergency. Not only would this harm the environment generally, through increased traffic and lower levels of carbon dioxide absorption, but also lead to a lower quality of life for Enfields current residents. Air pollution leads to premature deaths and in particular stunted lung capacity in children. London Air pollution has been above acceptable levels for many years and this has been proven as a cause of death of young children e.g. Ella Kissi-Debrah in 2013. Until London Air pollution is within acceptable limits, development of greenfield sites should not be approved.

I also believe this is the wrong time to be expanding London housing. It is clear that the pandemic has changed the way people work forever. There is now less demand for housing in London and less demand for smaller dwellings. Time should be given to allow new ways of working and housing demand patterns to establish before irreversible development of greenfield sites is approved.

I believe development of the Crews Hill Garden Centre area would be a great loss of commerce and jobs in Enfield. The area is known throughout London and beyond as THE destination for garden supplies, and could not be replaced.

Lastly I am also opposed to the development of greenbelt land in principle, as I believe this would set a precedent for further development and the ultimate loss of the greenbelt.