As long term residents of over 65 years of living in Enfield we write to strongly object to the local plan on the following basis:

• object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough..

Can you also explain the logic of the smaller field HIC5, bounded by William Covell Close and Spring Court Road, being included in this appalling removal of green belt land?

When we moved to Enfield those many years ago, we understood the excellent policy of green belt that was to retain areas of largely undeveloped, wild or agricultural land surrounding Enfield. All residents and visitors to this area therefore are so impressed by this policy and how it marks Enfield above so many other London towns that have no large green areas. Why would such a policy be able to be changed and on what grounds is this possible. We are now being told that other Councils are looking to small grass verges to leave from mowing to encourage wild life such as our precious bees, and yet we are set to destroy our own.

- object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management.
 I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.
- objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.
- object to Policy DM BG10 on p380, the loss of public space and amenity from the conversion of the recreation fields at Firs Farm and to the east of the A10 (south of Church Street) for crematorium use
- object to SA42 the development of Ford's grove car park as this was allocated
 as free parking to make up for the loss of parking spaces when the cycles lanes
 were introduced on Green Lanes and will negatively impact business and
 shoppers, especially the disabled, elderly and those with children who will have
 to walk further.

 object to SA32, Sainsburys Green Lanes N21 3RS. Page 351 of Enfield Local Plan - redevelopment of supermarket and car park to mixed-use homes and non-residential floor space.

I look forward to hearing your response