Dear Madam or Sir,

I am writing to you in order to object in the strongest possible way to the planned developments on Enfield's "Green Belt".

Not only Enfield but all of London relies on this vast stretch of nature to provide fresh air, space for wildlife and recreation for people of all ages.

We, like many other families we know, use many of the spaces which have been earmarked for housing development for weekly trips out with our three young children. The thought of these being build on for housing - when there are "brown sites" which could be redeveloped instead, is heartbreaking to us.

In particular, we are opposed to the following sections of the proposed plan:

- section 2.4
- policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, Fig 3.11
- policy SP PL 9, pages 77-80
- SA 45: land between camlet way And crescent way, Hadley wood, page 364
-SA 52, Page 372
-SA 54, page 374
-(tall buildings, including in Enfield town center) pages 156-60, figure 7.3 and 7.4, policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens shopping centre, page 321

While it is clear that we need more (affordable!) housing across the borough, Enfield in particular is rich in underused or unused brown sites, old industrial estates etc, which can and should be used for this purpose.

With regards to planning to build tall buildings in the borough, including (but not only) the historical town centre - this would cause havoc with local parking possibilities which are already vastly overstretched.

Most importantly however, it is critical for Enfield and all of London that the green areas remain protected green land, undisturbed by development of any kind. With the narrative of climate emergency becoming increasingly louder and harder to ignore, it would be gravely irresponsible to build up the green land that we have left in our urban areas.