
Dear sirs

My responses to the local plan- in no particular order.

Objection to SA32 Sainsbury's Green Lanes, N21 3RS. PAGE 351.

Loss of major supermarket in the area will force people to drive further. Disabled and elderly people may not be able to access other facilities.

There appears to be no supporting infrastructure plans re schools, doctors, etc transport etc

This development will lead to the destruction of habitat for many species of plants and animals.

The increased residential traffic will also increase carbon monoxide levels.

Added to the Palmers Green traffic chaos because of the closure of the Lakes Estate, the added traffic both during building and after will make Green Lanes almost impossible to drive through. For those unable to walk or cycle there is a great danger of creating separate ghettos throughout the borough.

Policy SP H1 pages 183-5(section 8.1) How can the borough build 1500 houses a year when it has only built about 400 in the last 2 years?

Objections to all of the following....

Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87 Building 3000 homes on the Green Belt

Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80. Building 3000 homes at Crews Hill

Policy SA45, page 364. Building 160 homes at Hadley Wood

Policy SA54, page 374 industrial buildings on agricultural land

Using the green belt for housing will completely change the make up of the borough.

We need to preserve the countryside, both for wildlife and the community.

There will still not be enough affordable houses for those that need it in Enfield.

Huge numbers of houses need large infrastructure plans too, which goes against the current LA policy of reducing carbon footprints.

The LA should look at all other land possibilities before going for the Green Belt.

Affordable homes in areas where they are needed and where infrastructures are already there should be at the forefront of any future developments.