

Good evening,

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.

These proposals put forward by the council, which are so deeply misaligned with the views of residents, contradicts the mitigation's needed to tackle the "climate emergency" the council has declared we are in.

A huge amount of residents are in shock that the council could even consider putting these proposals forward- particularly by building on green belt land, something which makes this Borough unique and the benefits of which we all love and have grown up with, it would significantly deteriorate the quality of living.

I hope the council listen to the voices of residents and withdraw these plans and not destroy the area we call home.