

Dear Sir/ Madam

We are against the proposal to build houses on the Green Belt. Once built on, the land will never be green fields again.

After COVID is the need for housing as high as it was before? With the increase of working from home surely the numbers of people wanting to live in London has gone down. Does Enfield council want to be remembered as the one that unnecessarily relinquished the greenbelt?

We are very concerned that Enfield council is suggesting building on the Green Belt against the recommendations of the government.

We are against the following developments:

- 3,000 new houses at a ‘deeply green’ ‘sustainable urban extension’ referred to as ‘Chase Park’ (also known as Vicarage Farm) on the open Green Belt countryside next to Trent Park either side of the A110 (Enfield Road) between Oakwood and Enfield town ([Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11](#));
- 3,000 new houses in a ‘sustainable settlement’ at Crews Hill with the potential for longer term expansion up to 7,500 new homes right up to the M25. ([Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10](#));
- 160 homes in Green Belt countryside at Hadley Wood ([SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364](#));
- Industrial and office development in the Green Belt near Rammey Marsh ([SA52 page 372](#));
- 11 hectares of new industrial and storage and distribution use at what is currently agricultural land east of Junction 24 of the M25 at part of new Cottages and Holly Hill Farm within Enfield Chase ([SA54, page 374](#));