

Hello,

Please find below my comments on the Enfield Draft Local Plan.

Re: SA32: development at Sainsbury's Green Lanes

- The introduction of 361 homes in an area surrounded by narrow roads will cause significant traffic and congestion issues in an area that already suffers from congestion. The slow moving traffic that is already an issue at many times of the day will be further heightened and add to pollution levels and see further issues for cyclists using the bike lanes along Green Lanes from increased pollution and additional traffic leaving and entering Green Lanes across the cycle lanes.
- With no additional supporting infrastructure they will lead to an overstretching of local facilities, particularly when factoring in other residential developments along Green Lanes (Capitol House, Travis Perkins etc).

Re: SA59: Firs Farm Recreation Ground use as a crematorium

- I can understand the need to plan for future capacity for burials and cremations but the use of Firs Farm does not seem appropriate. The existing burial land nearby is in an appropriate location away from residential housing and fairly out of the way being near the A10. The proposed crematorium site at Firs Farm is separated from the main site and starting to encroach on local housing areas and facilities. If additional burial and crematorium facilities are needed they should be located in a more sensitive location.
- The proposed site appears to gain access from Firs Lane which would see added congestion for local residents when any access should extend from the existing burial ground.
- It seems strange that after the investment in the Wetlands and efforts to attract locals to the area and the surrounding playing fields, that the disruption during construction and operation will greatly lessen the natural beauty of the area and reduce footfall to an area that encourages healthy activity.

Development along the A110 (Oakwood area)

- The development of a vast housing estate on greenbelt land with zero provision for any other facilities in an already-stretched part of the borough is not fit to be considered a 'plan'. Whilst there is space for housing, it does not make it suitable. The destruction of greenbelt alone is unforgivable, but the additional pollution and

disruption to local traffic and pressure of local facilities does not come with a solution. Whilst I realise there is a housing crisis, a better solution will need to be found that adding hundreds of houses on fields and hoping it will have a net zero impact on the community. I have to object strongly.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.