

---

ENFIELD COUNCIL, EN1 3BR

Dear Enfield Council,

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this important consultation.

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80 -87 and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages77-80 and Concept plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA 45 :Land between

Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; Policy SA52 page 372 and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277 - 279 - all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

These sights are part of historic Enfield Chase which is a valuable landscape which has contributed to making Enfield such an attractive place to work, live and visit. The Green Belt increases possibilities for physical activities for adults and children. It also encourages means of transport such as walking and cycling, also thereby improving mental health. The Green Belt is irreplaceable as a means to adapt to climate change through CO2 absorption and flood alleviation. It undeniably improves air quality. It has an important role in enhancing biodiversity and opportunities for wildlife. The farmland could be put back into productive use growing local food for local people.

I am not against housing development and support the council's ambition to meet Enfield's housing needs but I strongly object to the proposal to release Green Belt for housing or other purposes. I believe that there are alternatives to meet housing targets and the Green Belt should be preserved for the benefit of future generations.

These comments are my own views.