

Dear Sir/Madam,

Subject: Draft Local Plan on Green Belt

Hope all is well. I am writing my concern in this letter and wish to object to the following Policies:

SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364 and Policy SA54, page 374.

All of these propose the building over of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

You will find that most of these sites are a unique part of historic Enfield Chase, which is a rare and valuable landscape asset.

I am also objecting to policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 (Whitewebbs Park), a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

Another policy I am against is Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

I am also objecting to Policy DM BG10 on p380, the loss of public space and amenity for crematorium use.

I am also objecting to policy SA42 which is the development of Ford's grove car park as this was allocated as free parking to make up for the loss of parking spaces when the cycles lanes were introduced on Green Lanes and will negatively impact business and shoppers, especially the disabled, elderly and those with children who will have to walk further.

Lastly, I also object to SA32, Sainsburys Green Lanes (page 351) of the redevelopment of supermarket and car park to mixed-use homes and non-residential floor space.

I understand the need for new housing but I am sure alternative locations can be found e.g. the empty land close to the flyover in Edmonton (not far from Edmonton Green Shopping Centre (and also close to a wedding venue).

The Council has a duty of care for the Green Belt, in accordance with the London Plan and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), and any intentions to release parts of it should be taken out of the local plan. This cannot be reversed and would be a disaster if it goes ahead.