

I am writing to object to proposals that would permit extensive house building on the Green Belt, in particular in the Crews Hill Area, where I grew up and is my family home. It is clear from the ELP (SP PL9) and much supporting documentation that the full situation in Crews Hill and its potential is misjudged, confused and unrealistic. I am objecting to the following key issues which I believe render the new Enfield Local Plan totally unworkable:

- 1) The absence of a consultation process with Crews Hill residents is reflected in a patchy and ill thought through plan for Crews Hill with a total misunderstanding of the land use and infrastructure of the area making it totally unsuitable for the volume of housing proposed in the plan.
- 2) The Draft Enfield Local Plan (ELP) maps are in many ways incorrect and misleading. The inconsistencies in the documented maps show that the Enfield Council's plan is inaccurate and quite possibly designed to be misleading. The Heritage aspects making land unsuitable for de-designation particularly Burnt Farm Ride and associated land, are not detailed in the main ELP.
- 3) Burnt Farm Ride is a private no through road with historic features including listed buildings and farmland still grazed today. It is clear from the documentation in the draft Local Plan that the Council has little or no understanding of the Ride. The following aspects have not been considered:
 - The historic aspects of the properties and the heritage assets of the area.
 - The adjacent land and its current usage as agricultural grazing land.
 - The impact of its proximity to the motorway, with severe noise levels along this concrete section and air pollution making it unsuitable for additional housing.
 - No consideration has been given to the already existing natural habitats of the Ride, both flora and fauna.
 - The ELP incorrectly implies the land is all 'brown field'. Most of the rural aspects of the Ride and its surrounding land have not been acknowledged.
- 4) Contradictory statements in the ELP about whether the council proposes to preserve or destroy the Green Belt.
 - The ELP fails to match the vision and far from protecting the Green Belt sets a precedent for future de-designation in total contradiction to the London Mayors vision and directives on Green Belt Land around London.
- 5) The infrastructure and amenities of Crews Hill, are totally inadequate to serve the volume of proposed housing.
 - The Draft Local Plan acknowledges the roads can't cope with the proposals but hopes to compensate by providing houses without parking so residents will cycle.
 - The road through Crews Hill is too narrow for safe cycling as proposed in the draft local plan. There is no room for cycle paths.
 - Crews Hill is not an urban area and at 3 miles from the town centre and 3 very hilly areas to that town centre Crews Hill, Clay Hill and Hilly Fields, would make