

I am writing to object strongly to the following Policies:

- * SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11
- * Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10
- * Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364
- * Policy SA54, page 374
- * Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279

All of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are historic and well loved by residents, making Enfield unique. Eating into greenbelt land will harm Enfield's identity and increase the claustrophobic urban sprawl

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement or use as a country park.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.