BRIEFING NOTE

FOR THE ATTENTION OF:

Regeneration and Economic Development Scrutiny Panel

For discussion

Officer Contact Details:

Subject / Title:

Housing Delivery Test

Ward(s): All

10th February 2021

- 1. This briefing note sets out the implications of Enfield (the place, not the council) delivering 1,314 homes in the past 3 years (2018-2020) against the 2,328 net additional homes target arising from the existing Local Plan. It sets out the implications of this 56% delivery against the target.
- 2. It proposes, as included in an updated Housing Action Plan to be published shortly, additional actions to support delivery of housing in Enfield.


Housing Delivery Test

- 3. The Housing Delivery Test (HDT) is an annual measurement of housing delivery introduced by the government through the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). It measures the performance of local authorities by comparing the completion of net additional homes in the previous three years to the housing targets adopted by local authorities for that period.
 - Every authority that fails to meet 95% of their housing targets needs to prepare a Housing Action Plan to assess the causes of under delivery and identify actions to increase delivery in future years.
 - Local authorities failing to meet 85% of their housing targets are required to add 20% to their five-year supply of deliverable housing sites targets by moving forward that 20% from later stages of the Local Plan period.
 - Local authorities failing to meet 75% of their housing targets in the preceding 3 years are placed in a category of "presumption in favour of sustainable development"
- 4. In 2018, Enfield met 85% of its housing targets delivering 2,003 homes against a target of 2,355 homes over the preceding three years (2015/16, 2016/17, 2017/18). In 2019 we met 77% of the 2,394 homes target for the three-year period delivering1,839 homes https://new.enfield.gov.uk/services/planning/housing-action-plan-2019-planning.pdf

- 5. As a result we had to prepare a Housing Action Plan, published late 2019 (KD 4996). This set out 12 key actions with an aim to increase housing delivery in future years. These actions included but were not limited to publishing a number of Council Strategies; improving our planning application processes through the establishment of new Strategic Major Applications Team; and establishing a Housing Delivery Board for closer monitoring of housing delivery across the Council.
- 6. In 2020 Enfield fell below the 75% threshold, and we now fall into the "presumption in favour of sustainable development" category. What this means in practice, is that applications for new homes should be considered with more weight by planning committee and the planning service. It also means that applicants are more likely to be successful at appeal and are likely to submit speculative applications which are not policy compliant and are less likely to meet our design quality aspirations. In short, it erodes, the ability of the planning service and local councillors to control development for housing and is likely to lead to a mix and quality of housing beneath our needs.
- 7. For comparison, across London, delivery has been below the set targets as follows: 8 boroughs (Barking and Dagenham, Enfield, Haringey, Havering, Kensington and Chelsea, Redbridge, Southwark and Tower Hamlets) have failed to deliver 75% of target. 2 boroughs (Bexley and Camden) delivered between 75% and 85%. 7 boroughs (Barnet, Greenwich, Hackney, Islington, Kingston, Lewisham, Westminster) delivered more than 85% but less than 95%.

Housing Delivery

8. The Housing Delivery Test is based on new homes completed in a 3-year window. Delivery of homes is based not just on how the planning service determines planning applications, but also on the broader context that affects the development industry and developer and landowners decision making on whether or not to bring forward or progress with their housing proposals. This broad context affects the number of applications the council receives, and how many developments go from permission to completion. Fig 1 below illustrates this concept of the planning pipeline (that the Local Planning Authority manages) sitting in this broader context and the points that potential housing developments can enter and fall out of the pipeline.



Process to housing delivery

Enfield's Track Record

9. The below table shows how many units have been entering the pipeline in recent years, are entering the planning pipeline, how many successfully gain planning permission and how this compares and performance against the plan target.

| | Target | Units Submitted | Units gained planning | Net Additional Dwellings Delivered (incl. PD) | Net Additional Dwellings as percentage of target |
|-------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 14/15 | 560 | | 715 | 399 | 71.3% |
| 15/16 | 798 | | 1059 | 672 | 84.2% |
| 16/17 | 798 | | 732 | 954 | 119.5% |
| 17/18 | 798 | | 1684 | 389 | 48.7% |
| 18/19 | 798 | | 753 | 496 | 62.7% |
| 19/20 | 732^ | | 647 | 429 | 67% |
| 20/21 | 1246* | c. 1200 | | | |

Blue = basis of 2020 Housing Delivery Test, with average of 56% delivery ^ = 2019/20 housing targets have been reduced by one month to reflect disruption to planning services in the first lockdown

^{* =} Expected new target based on adopted London Plan which supersedes 798

- 10. There are a few points to draw from this:
 - a. Enfield does not receive enough applications to support achieving our new home delivery target. This reflects our local plan, which was designed for 560 homes as well as a perception issue and other factors.
 - b. We grant, roughly, 75% of the major applications for housing that we receive but only 41% of minor housing applications. We have, over the course of HDT period, consented 3084 homes this is above our target of 2328 but is clearly not enough to compensate for schemes which obtain planning but subsequently stall or do not proceed for development.
 - c. Our housing delivery target will be increasing over the next 3 years. If we do not work to increase housing delivery, our delivery shortfall will increase exponentially as is suggested by 2020/21 line. This is likely to bring the council to the attention of the Government.

11. In addition.

- a. Of the 1,314 homes delivered in the past 3 years, 342 (26%) were delivered through council-led housing development. The public sector is a key part of new home building in Enfield.
- b. Permitted Development accounts for c 9% of our housing delivery on average over recent years. This is not insignificant; With recent changes to PD rights this number may increase in subsequent years. Although could be seen as a benefit in terms of meeting housing targets, the borough has lost the benefits associated with new planning applications, e.g. S106, affordable housing, high quality housing.
- 12. Tables 2 and 3, at the end of this note, compares Enfield's delivery performance with other boroughs across London. There is a very wide range of performance, however:
 - Roughly half of London boroughs do not achieve their target in any one year
 - Only 35% of planning "grants" in London result in completions comparing year by year. There is a lag from planning through to construction, but still – this implies that we (and other London boroughs) need to grant permission for 165% of the homes we target.
 - Enfield has a low number of homes gaining permission, relative to similar outer London boroughs. Given that we consent slightly below the London average this indicates we are receiving a low number of applications compared to other London boroughs.

The updated Housing Action Plan – taking new actions to improve housing delivery

13. Enfield's performance on housing completions is a result of many factors and needs to be addressed through a variety of actions. We have made good progress on our existing Housing Action Plan but the Council will publish a new

plan that recognises the actions we need to take recognising the changing operating environment we are now working within.

- 14. Areas we have identified in our new Housing Action Plan that we need to improve on to increase housing completions are as follows:
- 15. Increase the number of applications submitted
 - a. Enfield has a pipeline of sites but our adopted (current) local plan has a lower target than we are judged against and therefore does not identify enough sites to meet demand. Overall our pipeline of applications is not sufficient to meet our targets and we need to plan for more homes.
 - b. We have seen declining applications in the past few years and we do not receive sufficient applications to meet our target.
 - c. Perceived difficulty of getting consent in Enfield this is unfounded as we are not out of step for London but there is a perception that we need to change through proactive communication with the industry
 - d. We propose to, in addition to current activities, work "upstream" to encourage more applications
 - Create additional officer posts entirely focussed on encouraging new applications for housing and unlocking stalled sites. They will contact landowners and encourage them to apply and reviewed stalled sites to encourage new applications if appropriate.
 - Continue our programme of engagement with the private sector, to get out the message that Enfield supports new homes.
 - Introduce Article 4s to stop permitted development in specific areas, directing applicants to planning applications and redevelopment for additional homes. This is contrary to government policy which supports permitted development
 - Consider Local Development Orders to speed up housing delivery in specific areas; this will require additional resource.
 - e. We need to stick to our plans to have a draft local plan ready for consultation for council to approve in June 2021.
- 16. Once applications submitted, supporting applications to a successful grant including committee determination.
 - a. We have improved our pre-applications processes but need to do more. We have improved the timeliness of our determination and we need to maintain this.
 - b. We will additionally
 - Further improve our pre-application processes, including for prior-approvals and discharge of conditions to ensure that Applications proceed more successfully, smoothly and quickly through the planning pipeline;
 - The quality of development that is delivered is of excellent quality and makes a strong positive contribution to the existing and new places of Enfield.
 - Increase planning committee training on design and other aspects, to support determination.
- 17. Translation of grant of planning consent into start of construction.

- a. We can do more to encourage this. We will additionally bring in new services to support discharge of conditions in a timely manner that achieves high quality developments.
- 18. The role of council housebuilding and regeneration in delivering new homes. Lack of development in the borough means the Council has to take a proactive role in council housebuilding and assess the barriers to delivery. We already have an ambitious programme and are lobbying government for further support to expand this programme.
- 19. Managing the housing market. In addition to our current actions, we will now:
 - Establish the number of empty homes and agree actions to bring them back into use
 - Identify opportunities and develop approaches for bringing forward selfbuild/ serviced plots, with a focus on providing this as an affordable housing route for those able to provide sweat equity.
 - Develop partnership approaches with custom build developers to improve housing offer
- 20. The above actions could incur additional costs of up to £500k/year, but they should be partially covered by increased application fees. These costs are not in the 21/22 budget.

Summary

- 21. Like many councils across the Country the effect of a sustained period of local authority cuts has led to the under resourcing of planning services. Only £1.66 of council tax for every resident is spent on spatial planning and planning determination, this is down from £4.90 in 2010. Along with an approach where housing supply has been largely left to the market the delivery of new housing has not matched pace with local plan targets and is far away from housing need.
- 22. Enfield has an Corporate Plan and Housing and Growth Strategy which puts good growth at its heart, and work has progressed to ensure services are funded including through the introduction of applicant funded pre planning advice. The skills and capacity of the planning service has been enhanced and improvements have been made to the planning process. We are now proposing further investment to encourage more applications for new housing.
- 23. Much of the supply delivered to date has been driven by the Council for example through its partnership regeneration schemes. This demonstrates that when enabled to do so with the right funding and powers Councils can take the driving seat in delivering growth.
- 24. There are significant opportunities for growth in the Borough and the review of the Local Plan to be published in summer 2021 further establishes the vision and planning tools for growth in the Borough. Quality developments being brought forward by developers that match the Council's vision for Enfield as a place are what is needed. The Local Plan enables the Council to work at an

early stage with developers to bring forward schemes with evidential benefits to the community and which, long term create a vibrant and well connected place.

- 25. Our draft Housing Action Plan to drive the improvement of housing supply builds from what has been achieved so far and aims to tackle the drivers of change for the future. It includes the following themes:
 - Ensuring an effective development management process
 - Continuing to embed housing growth and delivery as a key priority of the council
 - An intelligence led approach to driving the right solutions
 - Efficient local plan and driving housing supply through infrastructure
 - Continuing to strengthen council led market interventions
 - Pro-actively pursuing housing development through a range of providers
 - Lobbying government to create the right conditions for development

Table 1: Planning Permissions and New Dwellings for All London boroughs (above target highlighted in green)

| | | N/A | N/A | | E09000033 | E09000032 | E09000031 | E09000030 | E09000029 | E09000028 | E09000027 | E09000026 | OPDC | E09000025 | E09000024 | LLDC | E09000023 | E09000022 | E09000021 | E09000020 | E09000019 | E09000018 | E09000017 | E09000016 | E09000015 | E09000014 | E09000013 | E09000012 | E09000011 | E09000010 | E09000009 | E09000008 | E09000007 | E09000006 | E09000005 | E09000004 | E09000003 | E09000002 | Borough code | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|---------|
| • | London boroughs - Total | New ham (incl. London Legacy DC) | Brent (incl Old Oak & Park Royal DC) | | Westminster | Wandsworth | Waltham Forest | Tower Hamlets | Sutton | Southw ark | Richmond upon Thames | Redbridge | Old Oak and Park Royal DC (OPDC) | Newham | Merton | London Legacy DC | Lewisham | Lambeth | Kingston upon Thames | Kensington and Chelsea | Islington | Hounslow | Hillingdon | Havering | Harrow | Haringey | Hammersmith and Fulham | Hackney | Greenwich | Enfield | Ealing | Crovdon | Camden | Bromley | Brent | Bexley | Barnet | Barking and Dagenham | Borough | |
| | 32210 | 2500 | 1065 | | 770 | 1145 | 760 | 2885 | 210 | 2005 | 245 | 760 | | 2500 | 320 | | 1105 | 1195 | 375 | 585 | 1170 | 470 | 425 | 970 | 350 | 820 | 615 | 1160 | 2595 | 560 | 890 | 1330 | 665 | 500 | 1065 | 335 | 2255 | 1065 | London Plan target | |
| | 98818 | 2653 | 1561 | | 2606 | 17331 | 951 | 12932 | 1362 | 3246 | 1067 | 966 | | 2653 | 818 | | 5388 | 5659 | 1070 | 1222 | 2398 | 2036 | 2011 | 987 | 1149 | 1299 | 4992 | 3757 | 5212 | 727 | 2209 | 4304 | 3602 | 1341 | 1561 | 445 | 2623 | 704 | Planning Permissio n granted (units) | 201 |
| | 26843 | 2050 | 1559 | | 749 | 1084 | 671 | 916 | 427 | 1141 | 241 | 257 | | 2050 | 425 | | 1468 | 1411 | 526 | 984 | 498 | 397 | | | 410 | 133 | 1360 | 1258 | 1148 | 399 | 897 | 1523 | 439 | 411 | 1559 | 810 | 1324 | 514 | | 2014/15 |
| | 83.3 | 82.0 | 146.4 | | 97.3 | 94.7 | 88.3 | 31.8 | 203.3 | 56.9 | 98.4 | 33.8 | | 82.0 | 132.8 | | 132.9 | 118.1 | 140.3 | 168.2 | 42.6 | 84.5 | 128.2 | 66.2 | 117.1 | 16.2 | 221.1 | 108.4 | 44 2 | 71.3 | 100.8 | 114 5 | 66.0 | 82.2 | 146.4 | 241.8 | 58.7 | 48.3 | Net additional dwellings as % of annual target | |
| | 42388 | 3465 | 1525 | | 1068 | 1812 | 862 | 3931 | 363 | 2736 | 315 | 1123 | | 1994 | 411 | 1471 | 1385 | 1559 | 643 | 733 | 1264 | 822 | 559 | 1170 | 593 | 1502 | 1031 | 1599 | 2685 | 798 | 1297 | 1435 | 889 | 641 | 1525 | 446 | 2349 | 1236 | London F Plan r target (| |
| | 83047 | 3851 | 1440 | | 2948 | 5641 | 689 | 6293 | 973 | 2222 | 648 | 924 | 7 | 3150 | 587 | 701 | 2396 | 4869 | 647 | 261 | 933 | 3921 | 1488 | 657 | 2470 | 943 | 3415 | 1578 | 15485 | 1068 | 2354 | 3243 | 954 | 803 | 1433 | 688 | 6223 | 2089 | | 2015/16 |
| | 30390 | 1441 | 1051 | | 908 | 2738 | 973 | 2394 | 391 | 1382 | 513 | 54 | 0 | 1441 | 508 | 0 | 1539 | 1347 | 239 | 384 | 1027 | 480 | 709 | 1012 | 910 | 239 | 368 | 826 | 1720 | 672 | 721 | 2044 | 965 | 700 | 1051 | -132 | 1458 | 732 | Net additional dwellings completed | 5/16 |
| | 71.7 | 41.6 | 68.9 | | 85.0 | 151.1 | 112.9 | 60.9 | 107.7 | 50.5 | 162.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 72.3 | 123.6 | 0.0 | 111.1 | 86.4 | 37.2 | 52.4 | 81.3 | 58.4 | 126.8 | 86.5 | 153.5 | 15.9 | 35.7 | 51.7 | 64 1 | 84.2 | 55.6 | 142 4 | 108.5 | 109.2 | 68.9 | -29.6 | 62.1 | 59.2 | Net additional dwellings as %of annual target | |
| | 42388 | 3465 | 1525 | | 1068 | 1812 | 862 | 3931 | 363 | 2736 | 315 | 1123 | 0 | 1994 | 411 | 1471 | 1385 | 1559 | 643 | 733 | 1264 | 822 | 559 | 1170 | 593 | 1502 | 1031 | 1599 | 2685 | 798 | 1297 | 1435 | 889 | 641 | 1525 | 446 | 2349 | 1236 | London P Plan n target (| |
| | 89418 | 8201 | 8322 | | 3936 | 10287 | 2303 | 8518 | 2118 | 1605 | 810 | 1256 | 21 | 6473 | 995 | 1728 | 1494 | 4420 | 1562 | 486 | 1604 | 3037 | 1232 | 907 | 1523 | 1755 | 620 | 1736 | 2194 | 752 | 4525 | 2736 | 1098 | 1156 | 8301 | 2442 | 3669 | 1715 | | 2016/17 |
| | 39560 | 2377 | 1364 | | 1342 | 2336 | 1033 | 4827 | 653 | 2412 | 465 | 755 | 0 | 2377 | 434 | 0 | 1604 | 1135 | 273 | 355 | 674 | 557 | 764 | 443 | 656 | 741 | 974 | 1196 | 2380 | 898 | 845 | 2835 | 1208 | 858 | 1364 | 764 | 1799 | 596 | Net additional dwellings completed | 3/17 |
| | 93.3 | 68.6 | 89.4 | | 125.7 | 128.9 | 119.8 | 122.8 | 179.9 | 88.2 | 147.6 | 67.2 | 0.0 | 119.2 | 105.6 | 0.0 | 115.8 | 72.8 | 42.5 | 48.4 | 53.3 | 67.8 | 136.7 | 37.9 | 110.6 | 49.3 | 94.5 | 74.8 | 88 6 | 112.5 | 65.2 | 197.6 | 135.9 | 133.9 | 89.4 | 171.3 | 76.6 | 48.2 | Net additional dwellings as % of annual target | |
| | 42388 | 3465 | 1525 | | 1068 | 1812 | 862 | 3931 | 363 | 2736 | 315 | 1123 | 0 | 1994 | 411 | 1471 | 1385 | 1559 | 643 | 733 | 1264 | 822 | 559 | 1170 | 593 | 1502 | 1031 | 1599 | 2685 | 798 | 1297 | 1435 | 889 | 641 | 1525 | 446 | 2349 | 1236 | London Plan target | |
| | 86639 | 5925 | 6786 | | 2263 | 9802 | 2877 | 3312 | 342 | 1819 | 600 | 1025 | 1800 | 2845 | 1468 | 3080 | 437 | 1830 | 626 | 177 | 521 | 3106 | 2817 | 1409 | 2428 | 2008 | 570 | 1427 | 2440 | 1714 | 5242 | 4788 | 629 | 738 | 4986 | 671 | 4890 | 11834 | Planning Permissio n granted (units) | 2017/18 |
| | 31723 | 1846 | 694 | | 1143 | 2247 | 712 | 2003 | 697 | 818 | 382 | 462 | 0 | 1846 | 648 | 0 | 526 | 1543 | 217 | 335 | 367 | 911 | 842 | 277 | 700 | 1200 | 1531 | 1267 | 1901 | 386 | 1457 | 2076 | 945 | 554 | 694 | 277 | 2208 | 413 | Net additional dwellings com pleted | 7/18 |
| | 74.8 | 53.3 | 45.5 | | 107.0 | 124.0 | 82.6 | 51.0 | 192.0 | 29.9 | 121.3 | 41.1 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 157.7 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 99.0 | 33.7 | 45.7 | 29.0 | 110.8 | 150.6 | 23.7 | 118.0 | 79.9 | 148.5 | 79.2 | 70.8 | 48.4 | 112.3 | 1447 | 106.3 | 86.4 | 45.5 | 62.1 | | | Net additional dwellings as %of annual target | |
| | 42388 | 3465 | 1525 | | 1068 | 1812 | 862 | 3931 | 363 | 2736 | 315 | 1123 | 0 | 1994 | 411 | 1471 | 1385 | 1559 | 643 | 733 | 1264 | 822 | 559 | 1170 | 593 | 1502 | 1031 | 1599 | 2685 | 798 | 1297 | 1435 | 889 | 641 | 1525 | 446 | 2349 | 1236 | London P Plan n target (| |
| | 88801 | 9157 | 7552 | | 1549 | 7280 | 1982 | 5069 | 506 | 2577 | 225 | 1075 | 236 | 7275 | 601 | 1882 | 2753 | 4041 | 1531 | 296 | 663 | 2492 | 3111 | 2557 | 2021 | 5156 | 3619 | 1071 | 3012 | 761 | 3204 | 3711 | 1110 | 713 | 7316 | 1073 | 3547 | 4745 | | 2018/19 |
| | 36161 | 2505 | 1741 | | 803 | 1913 | 613 | 1524 | 575 | 3208 | 423 | 764 | 0 | 2505 | 273 | 0 | 1628 | 1219 | 501 | 115 | 916 | 1103 | 957 | 466 | 1229 | 568 | 1046 | 1522 | 1514 | 500 | 1754 | 1590 | 827 | 708 | 1741 | 486 | 2209 | 906 | Net additional completed a | /19 |
| | 85.3 | 72.3 | 114.2 | | 75.2 | 105.6 | 71.1 | 38.8 | 158.4 | 117.3 | 134.3 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 125.6 | 66.4 | 0.0 | 117.5 | 78.2 | 77.9 | 15.7 | 72.5 | 134.2 | 171.2 | 39.8 | 207.3 | 37.8 | 101.5 | 95.2 | 56.4 | 62.7 | 135.2 | 110.8 | 93.0 | 110.5 | 114.2 | 109.0 | 94.0 | 73.3 | Net additional L dwellings r as %of annual target | |
| | 52287 | 5434 | 3692 | | 985 | 1950 | 1264 | 3473 | 469 | 2355 | 411 | 1409 | 1367 | 3280 | 918 | 2154 | 1667 | 1335 | 964 | 448 | 775 | 1782 | 1083 | 1285 | 802 | 1592 | 1609 | 1328 | 2824 | 1246 | 2157 | 2079 | 1038 | 774 | 2325 | 685 | 2364 | 1944 | London Pla Plan ng target (ui | |
| | 55224 Da | 5307 | 2502 | 1 F | | | 542 | | | 1661 | 220 [| 1 999 | 0 | 4586 [| | 721 [| 1487 [| 4310 | 443 [| | | | | 981 [| | | | | 335 | | | 1500 | 180 | 947 [| 2502 | 830 [| 6184 | 3139 | Planning addi Permissio dwe n granted com (units) | 2019/20 |
| | 55224 Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | Data not yet released | et dditional wellings omplete | 20 |
| | eleased | eleased | eleased | | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | eleased | Net additional dwellings as % of annual target | |

Table 2: London boroughs – Number of units permitted 2014/15 to 2018/19 vs Number of additional dwellings completed (same period), plus three-year

averages

| averages | | | | | | | | 3-y | ear avera | ges | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| Borough | Total Permissions: 2014/15 to | Net additional dwellings: | Net Additional Dwellings as percentage of units granted permission | | 2014 | 4/15 to 2010 | 6/17 | 2015/16 to 2017/18 | | | |
| | 2014/19 | 2014/15 to 2018/19 | | | Net Units permitted | Net Units completed | % completed | Net Units permitted | Net Units completed | % compl | |
| Barking and Dagenham | 21,087 | 3,161 | 15.0 | | 4508 | 1842 | 40.9 | 15638 | 1741 | | |
| Barnet | 20,952 | 8,998 | 42.9 | | 12515 | 4581 | 36.6 | 14782 | 5465 | | |
| Bexley | 5,319 | 2,205 | 41.5 | | 3575 | 1442 | 40.3 | 3801 | 909 | | |
| Brent | 23,597 | 6,409 | 27.2 | | 11295 | 3974 | 35.2 | 14720 | 3109 | | |
| Bromley | 4,751 | 3,231 | 68.0 | | 3300 | 1969 | 59.7 | 2697 | 2112 | | |
| Camden | 7,393 | 4,384 | 59.3 | | 5654 | 2612 | 46.2 | 2681 | 3118 | | |
| City of London | 1,099 | 503 | 45.8 | | 940 | 310 | 33.0 | 868 | 222 | | |
| Croydon | 18,782 | 10,068 | 53.6 | | 10283 | 6402 | 62.3 | 10767 | 6955 | | |
| Ealing | 17,534 | 5,674 | 32.4 | | 9088 | 2463 | 27.1 | 12121 | 3023 | | |
| Enfield | 5,022 | 2,855 | 56.8 | | 2547 | 1969 | 77.3 | 3534 | 1956 | | |
| Greenwich | 28,343 | 8,663 | 30.6 | | 22891 | 5248 | 22.9 | 20119 | 6001 | | |
| Hackney | 9,569 | 6,069 | 63.4 | | 7071 | 3280 | 46.4 | 4741 | 3289 | | |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 13,216 | 5,279 | 39.9 | | 9027 | 2702 | 29.9 | 4605 | 2873 | | |
| Haringey | 11,161 | 2,881 | 25.8 | | 3997 | 1113 | 27.8 | 4706 | 2180 | | |
| Harrow | 9,591 | 3,905 | 40.7 | | 5142 | 1976 | 38.4 | 6421 | 2266 | | |
| Havering | 6,517 | 2,840 | 43.6 | | 2551 | 2097 | 82.2 | 2973 | 1732 | | |
| Hillingdon | 10,659 | 3,817 | 35.8 | | 4731 | 2018 | 42.7 | 5537 | 2315 | | |
| Hounslow | 14,592 | 3,448 | 23.6 | | 8994 | 1434 | 15.9 | 10064 | 1948 | | |
| Islington | 6,119 | 3,482 | 56.9 | ` | 4935 | 2199 | 44.6 | 3058 | 2068 | | |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 2,442 | 2,173 | 89.0 | | 1969 | 1723 | 87.5 | 924 | 1074 | | |
| Kingston upon Thames | 5,436 | 1,756 | 32.3 | | 3279 | 1038 | 31.7 | 2835 | 729 | | |
| Lambeth | 20,819 | 6,655 | 32.0 | | 14948 | 3893 | 26.0 | 11119 | 4025 | | |
| Lewisham | 12,468 | 6,765 | 54.3 | | 9278 | 4611 | 49.7 | 4327 | 3669 | | |
| London Legacy DC | 10,081 | 0 | 0.0 | | 5119 | 0 | 0.0 | 5509 | 0 | | |
| Merton | 4,469 | 2,288 | 51.2 | | 2400 | 1367 | 57.0 | 3050 | 1590 | | |
| Newham | 22,396 | 10,219 | 45.6 | | 12276 | 5868 | 47.8 | 12468 | 5664 | | |
| Old Oak and Park Royal DC (OPDC) | 2,102 | 0 | 0.0 | | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 1828 | 0 | | |
| Redbridge | 5,246 | 2,292 | 43.7 | | 3146 | 1066 | 33.9 | 3205 | 1271 | | |
| Richmond upon Thames | 3,350 | 2,024 | 60.4 | | 2525 | 1219 | 48.3 | 2058 | 1360 | | |
| Southwark | 11,469 | 8,961 | 78.1 | | 7073 | 4935 | 69.8 | 5646 | 4612 | | |
| Sutton | 5,301 | 2,743 | 51.7 | | 4453 | 1471 | 33.0 | 3433 | 1741 | | |
| Tower Hamlets | 36,124 | 11,664 | 32.3 | | 27743 | 8137 | 29.3 | 18123 | 9224 | | |
| Waltham Forest | 8,802 | 4,002 | 45.5 | | 3943 | 2677 | 67.9 | 5869 | 2718 | | |
| Wandsworth | 50,341 | 10,318 | 20.5 | | 33259 | 6158 | 18.5 | 25730 | 7321 | | |
| Westminster | 13,302 | 4,945 | 37.2 | | 9490 | 2999 | 31.6 | 9147 | 3393 | | |
| Brent (incl Old Oak & Park Royal DC) | 25,699 | 6,409 | 24.9 | | 11361 | 3974 | 35.0 | 16548 | 3109 | | |
| Newham (incl. London Legacy DC) | 32,477 | 10,219 | 31.5 | | 17395 | 5868 | 33.7 | 17977 | 5664 | | |
| London boroughs - Total | 449,451 | 164,677 | 36.6 | | 274011 | 96793 | 35.3 | 259104 | 101673 | | |