
Dear Local Planning Officers
my apologies again
my 2nd attempt to send you my list of objections .

Dear Local Planning Officers
My apologies -
I inadvertently - pressed send before I had finished my list.

Dear Local Planning Officers
Here are a list of my and my wives objections to the proposed SA32 , page 351 Enfield Local Plan.
SA42 Fords Grove development, and SA 59 Crematorium Proposal.

1. The loss of a major supermarket and its car park will be devastating for an enormous number of people and the vast area it serves, particularly for the elderly and disabled residents. It will mean that thousands of residents will have to travel much further to do their supermarket shopping with and some elderly who do not have cars will find this extremely difficult to do.

2. The proposed redevelopment will cause even more disruption, to the local residents with the increased car, van and lorry usage for the 291 mixed homes, and the unspecified use of the 13,325 sq ft of space designated for commercial usage.

3. All this extra traffic will have to spew out onto the already vastly congested green lanes caused by the cycle lanes scheme, that has together with the Low Noise areas caused chaos throughout Winchmore Hill and Palmers Green, having forced even more lorries cars and vans and buses onto green lanes, and into Hoppers Road.

4. Hoppers Road and the residential roads that adjoin it, are already having huge lorries and vans using them as diversion routes because of the congestion that presently exists in green lanes, forcing them to divert. This proposal will only make this worse for existing residents.

5. The loss of the supermarket will mean that with more residents occupying the new development , with their vehicles , will add to congestion on the roads, and will increase carbon monoxide emissions in the local environment . This will particularly impact on local residents and new residents and especially children at Highfields School.

6. There is no supporting infrastructure planned it seems, which will mean that doctors surgeries, and schools in particular will be overstretched,

7. There is no mention of the physical scale of the development and whether the trees that form the lovely background around the Sainsbury's site will be removed, this would be environmentally disastrous and should not be allowed.

8. Car parking for the new residents, :- if this scheme proceeds, again it will impact greatly on the surrounding residential roads, and particularly if Ford Groves car park goes as well.

So if car parking is to be retained in the Sainsbury's site does it mean that the parking will be underneath the structure? This would be again disastrous for neighbouring residents, with the potential of having their homes being overlooked and potentially causing a loss in value of their homes. This really should not be allowed if this is planned.

Also if Ford's Grove car park goes and with 14% of parking on Winchmore Hill Broadway already having gone, the proposed development will lead to further loss of revenue to local shops causing potential closure for these businesses.

9. Environmental Aspects. -Firs Farm Lane Crematorium.

Again the impact to the area with the increased need to cater for car parking, will all impact on the value of local residents' properties, and an increase in carbon monoxide levels. Also will a roadway need be created from the A10, as per the crematorium further along the A10. If so this will cut through more of the wet lands and green space. This should not be allowed, as it would probably be used to create more homes on the remaining land behind the crematorium to the A10.

This development will lead to the destruction of the habitat and woodlands there - the site has at least three different species of woodpecker, as well as trees with protection orders (poplars and oaks). The green space is especially beneficial for residents who live in the flats nearby and do not have gardens, and the area is used by families and the elderly, which contributes to the health and wellbeing to the residents of Enfield. What guarantee is there that no more land might be used for other developments.