

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

I live on the edge of the Green Belt, in Oakwood. This is a unique setting - being in a London postcode but a 5 minute walk from the Green Belt land. This unique and historic land that can be accessed on foot (ie without the need for a car) by local residents needs to be preserved for the enjoyment of future generations.

Currently there are undisturbed views of the countryside from Trent Park. Housing would alter this view forever.

Oakwood would increase in size many fold with a strain on local infrastructure.

Oakwood station already serves a large area as people travel from Enfield and East Barnet on the 307 bus. It would be difficult for it to accommodate more commuters. On days where Spurs and Arsenal play at home, it is already impossible to park in Oakwood and bringing in such a large number of people to the area would worsen this issue.

We are already seeing increased levels of flooding in London. Open land helps reduce flooding; building on it increases it.

Given that we are to understand that the population of London is in fact, falling, I would question the need to redesignate this land for housing.

There appear to be several empty brown field sites across the borough, particularly around Ponders End, Brimsdown etc. Why are these not being used before destroying the Green Belt?