Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the **devastation** of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

The loss of Crews Hill, which brings into our borough so many people for the garden centres is a horrendous loss. I cannot see that any thought has been given to infrastructure other than the fact that Crews hill has a station?

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. 3. Although it seems that this has already been agreed with a football club for 25 years.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321.

This proposal, other than its destruction of our beloved green belt, will not provide what the council says it is trying to achieve. Affordable housing. As usual the only winner will be the developer. But once the countryside has disappeared, it is lost for good.

The pandemic bought to us many things, and one of them, is that is that more and more of us, from all walks of life have a renewed appreciation for the countryside, its walks and wildlife. I live in a flat and without the outside spaces, easily accessible to be me and my family in those long months, I think we would have lost our minds.

Therefore, for myself, my family and future generations. So that this wonderful borough is not butchered by these schemes. I object most strongly to these schemes as they have been so poorly presented.