Hello

This email is to object to the draft plan which will destroy the Enfield countryside in favour of housing and other purposes. The Enfield greenbelt is an important part of this borough and used and enjoyed by many as well as home to a lot of wildlife. It surprises me that this is even a proposal right now with the importance of addressing climate issues and ensuring that we have a planet for future generations. Not only will these proposals destroy the nature but will bring increase pollution to the area at a time when we need to reduce this.

The key parts of the policy that I strongly object to are:

- 1. SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 all of which propose the re-designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.
- 2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.
- 3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

Please consider this objection to ensure we preserve Enfield now and for the future