

Dear Sirs

I am writing to object to the following Policies:

SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11;

Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10;

Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364;

Policy SA54, page 374;

and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

Once lost the Green Belt is lost forever. As the mother of two young children I am seriously concerned about the negative environmental impact of these policies.

2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

The people of the Borough deserve to have this resource in public ownership.

3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

As above, we know that these sections of the Green Belt are environmentally important because of the flood prevention and carbon capture benefits they give.

I look forward to hearing the results of the consultation.