Dear sir/madam

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279, all of which propose the re-designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, and represent a valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.

Finally, I object to the plans to redevelop such a significant number of key retail spaces across the borough. In my immediate area this will result in the loss of Tesco, Southbury Road, (SA6, page 41) Sainsburys Crown Road (SA8, page 46), as well as Morrison's, Southbury Road (SA10, page 46). As these are all locations easily accessible by public transport, I can only assume that the council is seeking to force residents either to travel much further to shop, or more likely to force residents to shop online? Either way, it is a real loss of choice and an undermining of community, particularly impacting residents who do not own a vehicle or who do not have the digital skills to use online alternatives.

If all of these areas are being redeveloped to provide housing, it is not clear what other infrastructure developments are being planned to support such a large influx of population thinking particularly of school places and health care services which even with the current population levels are in a state of virtual collapse.

