

To whom it may concern,

I do not believe that this consultation has been effectively communicated to the residents of Enfield. The sheer size and complexity of the document and the required consultation process is likely to limit the level of response. It is difficult for people to find out proposals specifically about their locality and the lets talk questionnaire is misleading and poorly drafted. A leaflet posted through my door detailing a consultation at Palmers Green library was only posted on the day. That is not sufficient notice to ensure people could attend.

I am writing to object to Policy DM BG10 on p380, the loss of public space and amenity from the conversion of the recreation fields at Firs Farm and to the east of the A10 (south of Church Street) for crematorium use. Is there evidence of need for this new crematorium. How will increasing traffic to this area fit with LTN plans. It seems counterintuitive.

I also object to SA42 the development of Ford's grove car park as this was allocated as free parking to make up for the loss of parking spaces when the cycles lanes were introduced on Green Lanes and will negatively impact business and shoppers, especially the disabled, elderly and those with children who will have to walk further.

I also object to SA32, Sainsburys Green Lanes N21 3RS. Page 351 of Enfield Local Plan - redevelopment of supermarket and car park to mixed-use homes and non-residential floor space. There are no details about the provisions that will be needed for dentists, doctors and additional school places which are already stretched. There are not enough school places for this part of N21 which are walkable as Highfield School is already oversubscribed.