

Dear Sir/Madam -

I object to the following Policies which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt land: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45; Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62, page 383 and SP CL4, pages 277-279. Green Belt land should be preserved for future generations and is a valuable asset which makes a positive contribution to the character of the Borough. Rather than spreading grey concrete elsewhere, the Borough should invest in the rundown housing areas that desperately need redevelopment. Enfield must protect its green spaces.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management.

In addition, I object to the tall building policies detailed on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321, which propose areas for development and the acceptable height of tall buildings. Tower blocks would ruin the character and identity of Enfield Town centre, making a mockery of the conservation areas that exist to preserve the historic centre. I worked in tower blocks at Alma Road and Barbot Street for over 30 years and the Council is well aware that such blocks do not encourage social cohesion, but rather exacerbate anti-social behaviour. Given that the Council took steps to redevelop these estates, vowing that they would not build high-rises again, the draft Local Plan does not make sense. There is an alternative - according to the policy, other lower-rise building forms could provide the same level of accommodation.

Please acknowledge receipt of my objections.