

Further to the Draft Local plan proposals I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

The preservation of our Green Belt is essential for a huge range of reasons, including the protection of wildlife, ecological diversity, action to prevent climate change and its catastrophic effects as well as heritage, quality of life and the mental well-being of the population of Enfield.

At a point in time that working life is increasingly decentralised with a distributed, remote workforce seeking to move away from urban and commuter belt areas such as Enfield any proposals should consider this changing population distribution, focus on the reuse and regeneration of areas of brownfield sites, including the provision of community services (eg Doctors) and priced for an income bracket of those who cannot work remotely such as teachers and nurses.

Building on green belt land is unnecessary and will have a detrimental effect on long term sustainability.