

Dear Enfield Council

I wish to object to the inclusion of the following in the draft local plan

SA32 sainsburys Green Lanes N21
SA20 Asda Southgate
SA21 Southgate Office Village
SA22 M&S Food Southgate
SA 23 Minchenden Crescent Car Park

My reasons are that inadequate consideration is given to the infrastructure required to support these new housing plans and to the needs and character of the area. Most of the above named proposals would not in any way address the need for social housing but simply allow more commercial type developments and opportunities for overseas investors. At the time Enfield is producing this draft, huge shifts in how and where people work and live is taking place. Not least the likely release of existing office space for housing. Current developments are not being bought up as quickly as developers would like. These shifts in the market should inform thinking for the future.

I also oppose any high rise developments not least because they are recognised to be less environmentally friendly whereas low rise developments tend to lead to more community spirited environments. In any case, the recognised need in Enfield is for larger accommodation units to house larger families not small flats for commercial rent.

Enfield shows scant regard for its current residents in terms of providing medical, educational, environmental and transport services. The roads are clogged because of the failed LTNs causing increased pollution. As a result of your failure to consult or engage in any meaningful way with your residents you will continue to find huge opposition to your ill-thought out schemes. It didn't take long for the Council to back track on the Firs Farm crematorium proposal in this draft plan. I hope the Council When will learn to practice meaningful engagement in order to find a genuine solution to the housing needs for the future.