

Dear Sir/Madam,

I object to the dedesignation of Green Belt land proposed in the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45; Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62, page 383 and SP CL4, pages 277-279. Green Belt land is a valuable asset to the Borough and should be protected at all costs. The importance of green spaces to the health and well-being of residents was underlined during the pandemic. These proposals should be reviewed and take into account the change of opinion post-lockdown.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. Again, green spaces should be protected for the benefit of all residents.

Finally, please note my objections to the tall building policies detailed on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321, which propose areas for development and the acceptable height of tall buildings. I am a resident of Enfield Town conservation area and contribute to the preservation of the historic town centre by maintaining our house. By contrast, tower blocks would negatively impact the character and identity of Enfield Town centre. The Council redeveloped the Alma Road and Barbot Street estates some years ago, explaining that the tower block model contributed to anti-social behaviour; this U-turn in policy does not make sense. According to the policy, other lower-rise building forms could provide the same level of accommodation and have less impact on the skyline.