

Strategic Planning and Design
London Borough of Enfield
FREEPOST NW5036
EN1 3BR

6 September 2021

Dear Sirs

Re: Response to the Draft Local Plan Reg 18 Consultation 2021

I wish to lodge my objection to the following policies on the grounds that they propose the de-designation of Green Belt land for housing and other developments.

- SA45, page 364
- SA52, page 372
- SA54, page 374
- SA62, page 383
- SP CL4, pages 277-279
- SP PL10, pages 80-87 and Figure 3.11
- SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10

Many of the sites affected by the above-referenced policies are part of historic Enfield Chase and I believe that the loss of these sites would alter for the worse the character of the Borough, destroy valuable local resources and amenity spaces, and cause irreparable harm to the Green Belt. Enfield's Green Belt is a precious resource that should be protected and preserved for future generations because of its many environmental, ecological, economic, public health, and other reasons. I draw the Council's attention to its duty of care for the Green Belt in accordance with the London Plan and National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), and suggest it investigate other available alternatives to meet housing targets.

Furthermore, I object to Policy DE6, pages 156-160, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre, page 321, on the grounds that these policies encourage inappropriately tall buildings in locations such as the Town Centre Conservation Area. A tall building (as defined in the London Plan as any building over 21 metres) in such locations as Palace Gardens would be out of keeping architecturally, dominate the skyline, and cast large shadows over historic locations such as Enfield Market Square.

These objections to the consultation constitute my own views.

Yours faithfully