

Dear Enfield Council

Response to the Draft Local Plan Reg 18 Consultation 2021

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this important consultation.

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; Policy SA62 page 372; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

All these sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which played an important role in the development of Enfield. The remaining parts of the Chase are unique in the southeast and a rare and valuable landscape asset. The loss of these sites would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

While I support housing development and support the ambition to meet Enfield's housing needs, I strongly object to the proposal to release Green Belt for housing or other purposes. I object to the scare tactics which are trying to make people believe that it's a choice between building on the Green Belt or allowing skyscrapers to spring up all over the borough. You, the Council, decides where tall buildings get built and how high they can be. And you, the Council, admit in your own documents that alternative building forms, such as lower-rise mansion blocks, can achieve a similar number of homes as tower blocks.

The comments provided in this response to the consultation are my own views.

Been coming to Creves Hill for a number of years
for fish & Gardening supplies.

Regards

.....