

Please find enclosed my comments on the draft local plan.

I hope that the maps will be improved before the plan is examined in public.

Yours faithfully,

SP PL9 Crews Hill

There is scope for housing on 'brownfield' sites but large scale developments would harm the surrounding rural areas.

The railway line should remain as the western boundary and the golf course should not be developed

SA 62 and SPC h4 Whitewebbs

The existing Spurs centre has destroyed the rural feel of this area and should not be allowed to expand to the west.

The public right to roam across this area must be safeguarded and access to the lake must be protected

SPRL10 P 80-87 and Figure 3.11

This land is unsuitable for housing because

- (i) It is an important part the Green Belt
- (ii) It is a valuable lung for residents of North London
- (iii) It would close the valuable green area between Enfield and Oakwood
- (iv) It would severely limit the views over Enfield Chase towards Potters Bar from viewpoints at the junction of Chase Ridings and Valley fields Crescent.

SA45 P 312 Land between Camlet Way and Crescent Way

This is an important part of the Green Belt and should not be used for houses.

SA54 Land East of Junction 24

This proposal is opposed because it would result in development along the Ridgeway and impact on the gap between Enfield and Potters Bar. There is plenty of opportunity for industrial storage development in northern Potters Bar.

DE6 Tall buildings

This is a helpful section but paragraph 7.6.3 should give more emphasis to scale and massing to avoid ugly slab blocks