

Dear Sirs,

Protection of Enfield Green Belt.

I am writing to you following the recent outpouring of protest with regard to the designation of Green Belt for housing and associated purposes. As a local resident, the detriment to the area would be calamitous to its population, the environment and wildlife. It would seem this is an easier option to target Green Belt rather than source many Brown / Grey Belt areas to develop many of which already have services in place

and are more 'ready-made' for development.
Why would a Council wish to destroy its history and open spaces which makes the area attractive to both residents and visitors especially where they have options. Equally, the drain on already stretched resources in Enfield such as schools, hospitals etc would impact the whole community.

In summary I am writing to object to the following policies:

- i) SP PL10 - pages 80-87 & Figure 3.11.
- ii) SP PL9 - pages 77-80 & Concept Plan Figure 3.10
- iii) SA 45: Land between Camlet way & Page Crescent way Page 364.
- iv) SA 54: page 374
- v) SA 62: page 383
- vi) ~~SA~~ CL4: pages 277-279

All of which are proposed to be redesignated
Green Belt... why can't councils protect
their assets instead of destroying them?

Green Belt is RARE & INVALUABLE to the
local community and the country.

PROTECT IT

Like nice Mitchells Park is also under
threat, and surrounding areas and other
local Green Space areas ~ if Green Belt
is continued to be destroyed there will
be no "Green & Pleasant Lands" to
quote a well-known hymn.

Yours faithfully .